



Secure Coding for UEFI Firmware

Presented by UEFI Forum

Tuesday, March 12, 2019



Secure Coding

Welcome & Introductions



Moderator: Brian Richardson
Firmware Ecosystem Development
Member Company: Intel Corporation
@intel_brian



Panelist: Trevor Western
Member Company: Insyde
Software



Panelist: Eric Johnson
Member Company: American Megatrends
Inc.



Panelist: Dick Wilkins
Member Company: Phoenix
Technologies



Dick Wilkins

Phoenix Technologies

What is the threat model for firmware?



Security should be a priority

- As Apps and OSes become more secure, firmware is a bigger target
- If platform firmware is compromised, that system cannot be secure



Assume a hostile environment

- Check every external input
- Never rely on “security by obscurity”
- Minimize your attack surface (disable unneeded features)



Debug and security protection

- There are various compiler tools and build options for more secure firmware
 - Many have been added to the TianoCore EDK II environment
 - Enable these options during development
 - Examples: ASLR, NX, /GS



But...

- Remove debug interfaces (backdoors) in shipping code, hardware and software
- Be very careful of remote management interfaces (be careful of BMCs)
- ASSERTS in your code
 - ASSERTs are for catching bugs that should never happen
 - ASSERTs are not for catching possible errors or validating inputs



SMM is particularly dangerous

- Insecure SMM code can run amok at Ring 0/1
 - It is a good place to focus your security code reviews
 - SMM code must never call out of SMRAM
 - SMM code must copy input parameters and validate and use the copy, to prevent time-of-check-time-of-use (TOCTOU) vulnerabilities



Protection settings

- Flash memory protections should be properly set as early as possible
- Make sure this happens on S3 resume as well as boot
- Lock authenticated EFI variable regions early
- Set variables read-only if possible
- Make sure your code falls back to reasonable defaults if variables are compromised (prevent Denial of Service)



Trevor Western

Insyde Software

*How do we compensate for “C”
language insecurities?*



The Insecurity of 'C'

- 'C' is the most popular low-level systems programming language in the world
- 'C' is a very powerful and very dangerous programming language



The Insecurity of 'C'

- C has no mechanism to test that a memory pointer is valid – does the pointer really point to an actual memory type as intended?
- C permits code to access memory beyond the memory allocated and assigned to a function. For example, code can modify a function's return address in memory. Highly insecure!
- Code can be manipulated like data. Passing function addresses into routines. Easy to execute arbitrary code
- 'C' can be very complex. For example, a declaration of a 'pointer to an array of functions that return a pointer to an array of functions' is legal
- Syntax is subtle and prone to mistakes. Comparison and assignment operators are 1 character different and visually hard to distinguish

The Insecurity of 'C'



- Naturally Programmers are making lots of security-related mistakes in C and UEFI
 - Microsoft at the recent BlueHat conference revealed: “70% of all vulnerabilities were memory safety issues.” “Terms like buffer overflow, race condition, page fault, null pointer, stack exhaustion, heap exhaustion or corruption, use after free, or double free --all describe memory safety vulnerabilities.”



Making 'C' Less Insecure

- 'C' compilers are getting better:
 - Turn on all warning options
 - Enable stack overflow checks / heap checking. Now available in EDKII
- Ban the use of unsafe C library functions
 - Use the StrN*S functions like StrnlenS(). Available in open source libs, such as EDKII
 - Ban the use of complex functions with variable arguments, like print() or InstallMultipleProtocolInstances()

Making 'C' Less Insecure



- Ban use of #pragmas and casts that tell the compiler to ignore the warnings or errors
- Assume that all arithmetic used to calculate memory allocations is wrong.
 - Any code used to determine array offsets or memory allocation should be removed, especially if it is using signed integers.
- Run SCA tools
 - Tools are better than ever and able to handle complexity
 - Klocwork & Coverity are two of the most widely used
 - MS VS2017 now has a usable SCA feature (too many FPs on VS2015)



Other Languages



- Every Programming Language Has Weaknesses:
 - “*24 Deadly Sins of Software Security: Programming Flaws and How to Fix Them*” shows that most security issues can be seen in several programming languages
 - RUST – ‘C’-like, but focusses on memory-safety and concurrency. Works well in low-resource devices. See <https://www.rust-lang.org/>
- Security comes from following a security practice like SDL, not the coding language



Eric Johnson

American Megatrends, Inc.

*How do we validate specific kinds of
insecurities?*



Firmware is hard to validate

- Code comes from many sources
- Firmware must be stable before you can test
- Configuration changes affect validity of tests



Code validation techniques

- Static Code Analysis Techniques
 - Code Review
 - Static Code Analyzer
- Dynamic Code Analysis Techniques
 - Integration Testing
 - Unit Testing
 - Symbolic execution



When to add new unit tests

- Fix a vulnerability
- Code that crosses trust boundary
- Developing new code
- Refactoring / bug fixing old code



Unit testing SMI handlers

- Test each structure / pointer controlled by adversary
- Test conditional branches controlled by adversary
- Goal is 100% code coverage
 - Symbolic Execution can help achieve this goal



However...

- Full code coverage is impossible on complex projects
 - Prioritize privileged code
 - Use a combination of validation techniques. i.e. fuzz testing, code review

Open Source Code Validation Tools



- Symbolic Execution:
 - angr
 - CRETE (already used on TianoCore)
 - KLEE
 - And more. See Wikipedia
- Unit Testing Frameworks
 - Host-based Firmware Analyzer (available Q2)
 - MicroPython Test Framework for UEFI



Secure Coding Panel Discussion



Questions?

Thank you!



Join the UEFI Forum and become part of the solution:

- www.uefi.org/membership

Contact the UEFI Forum:

- admin@uefi.org

Contact the USRT:

- For more information go to: www.uefi.org/security
- Email a firmware security issue or vulnerability to: security@uefi.org



More Resources

- [Intel] “[*A Tour Beyond BIOS – Security Design Guide in EDK II*](#)”, September 2016
- [Howard] “*24 Deadly Sins of Software Security: Programming Flaws and How to Fix Them*”, Michael Howard, David LeBlanc, John Viega, McGraw-Hill, 2009, ISBN: 978- 0071626750
- [Apple] “[*Secure Coding Guide*](#)”, September 2016
- [Intel] “[*Using Host-based Firmware Analysis to Improve Platform Resiliency*](#)”, March 2019