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Our “Standard” Firmware Model

For Intel Architecture (IA), the common model involves the platform OEM/ODM working with an independent BIOS vendor (IBV) to generate firmware.

• License a codebase or use turnkey development.
• Allows heavy customization & differentiation.
• Option to contract resources as needed.
Of course, there are exceptions

Reference Platforms come with UEFI images from Intel to test and validate silicon.

UEFI Development Kits add the latest UEFI firmware to stable Intel platforms for OS and peripheral testing.

Other UEFI members have similar validation programs.
Firmware from Reference to Product

**Reference Platform**
Early test/example platform with many options for debug, silicon validation and development.

**OEM/ODM Design**
Adds product innovations, substitutes components, removes debug options.

**Final Product**
Firmware is customized for platform, including branding and user experience changes.
New Markets Bring New Requirements

Landscape has changed since the PC/AT BIOS was introduced.

New products call for different solutions.

- Simple derivatives
  - Product w/o complex firmware requirements
- Open Hardware & Maker Products
  - Relies heavily on open source solutions
- New IA developers
  - New products, new business models, different ecosystems and customer requirements …
So … why tell the plugfest audience?

Most of the developers at a UEFI Plugfest know and understand the existing IA firmware ecosystem.

Those developers should also understand any new options in Intel’s enabling ecosystem …

• New options for platform debug & testing.
• New options for enabling with UEFI
Various Options for Intel Platforms

These are *in addition to* the traditional ecosystem.

Breaks down into three major categories ...

- Full Open Source
- Open Source + Binary Components
- Configurable Binary Components
Full Open Source

Intel is using open hardware designs in IoTG markets.

New maker/hobby products use open hardware schematics and open source reference code for UEFI & EDK II (no NDA required).
Example: Intel® Galileo Platform

Small footprint project w/ EDK II

Two firmware projects ...
1. Standard firmware package, based on EDK II.
2. “TinyQuark” variant, 64KB UEFI firmware that directly boots to Yocto Linux in-flash

More information at uefidk.com
Open Source + Binary Components

Similar approach to maker product in regard to open hardware design.

However, some silicon components rely on IP that cannot be placed into open source.
Example: MinnowBoard/MinnowBoard Max

Firmware for this open hardware design has two primary options:

1. EDK II + binary modules
2. EDK II + Intel® Firmware Support Package (FSP)

Accommodates need to keep some IP protected in firmware.
Example #1: EDK II + Binary Modules

Firmware development kit combines EDK II code with select processor/chipset init modules.

Allows deeper development and debug w/o 100% open source.

Binary components are not configurable by the developer.
Example #2: EDK II + Intel® FSP

Intel® FSP is an initialization binary, based on UEFI PI specs.

Developers use tools to set how Intel FSP configures hardware.

EDK II can “consume” Intel FSP
- *SecCore* hands off to Intel FSP
- FSP produces HOBs at end

White Paper

*A Tour Beyond BIOS Using the Intel® Firmware Support Package with the EFI Developer Kit II*

Whitepaper available at uefidk.com
Configurable Binary Components

Uses pre-compiled PEIM/DXE with configurable options for fast deployment.

Designed for platforms with simple firmware needs.

Example: Intel® Unified Binary Management Suite (Intel® UBMS)
So, which approach is the best?

Depends on each market’s business requirements.

Not every enabling model fits into every market segment.

Some markets are still enabled using the IBV ecosystem, while other markets add new options.

• Does the end-user need to modify the firmware?
• Do you need to protect any IP in the platform firmware?
• Will customers use the firmware to make derivative products based on this design?
• What level of firmware debugging is required?
• Which option bets fits the platform’s security needs?
Summary / Q&A

Intel is introducing new firmware options to support UEFI.

Incorporating configurable binary components and open source options.

Allows developers to pick the best firmware option for their IA product.
For more information on the Unified EFI Forum and UEFI Specifications, visit http://www.uefi.org